

# **An Assessment of GEO Orbital Debris Photometric Properties Derived from Laboratory-Based Measurements**

**Heather Cowardin**

*ESCG/Jacobs Technology  
P.O. Box 58447, Houston, TX 77258-8447y  
heather.cowardin@nasa.gov*

**Kira Abercromby**

*California Polytechnic State University, Department of Aerospace Engineering  
San Luis Obispo 1 Grand Ave, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407*

**Edwin Barker**

*LZ Technology, 211 W. Sealy, Suite 1, Alvin, TX 77511*

**Patrick Seitzer**

*University of Michigan, Dept of Astronomy  
500 Church St, 818 Dennison Bldg, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109-1042 USA*

**Mark Mulrooney**

*ESCG/MEI Technologies, P.O. Box 58447, Houston, TX 77258-8447*

**Thomas Schildknecht**

*Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland*

## **ABSTRACT**

Optical observations of orbital debris offer insights that differ from radar measurements (specifically the size parameter and wavelength regime). For example, time-dependent photometric data yield light curves in multiple bandpasses that aid in material identification and possible periodic orientations. These data can also be used to help identify shapes and optical properties at multiple phase angles. Capitalizing on optical data products and applying them to generate a more complete understanding of orbital space objects is a key objective of NASA's Optical Measurement Program, and a primary driver for creation of the Optical Measurements Center (OMC). The OMC attempts to emulate space-based illumination conditions using equipment and techniques that parallel telescopic observations and source-target-sensor orientations. The OMC uses a 75 watt, Xenon arc lamp as a solar simulator, a CCD camera with standard Johnson/Bessel filters, and a robotic arm to rotate objects in an effort to simulate an object's orbit/rotational period.

Since observation of GEO targets are generally restricted to the optical regime (due to radar limitations), analysis of their properties is tailored to those revealed by optical data products. A small population of GEO debris was recently identified that exhibits the properties of high area-to-mass ( $A/m$ ) objects ( $>0.9 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$ ), such as variable eccentricities and inclinations, a dynamic characteristic that usually results from variations in solar radiation pressure. In this connection, much attention has been directed towards understanding the light curves of orbital debris and their associated  $A/m$  value. Materials, such as multi-layered insulation (MLI) and solar panels, are two examples of materials with high area-to mass ratios. Light curves for such objects can vary greatly, even for the same object under different illumination conditions. For example, specular reflections from multiple facets of the target surface (eg, Mylar or aluminized Kapton), can lead to erratic, orientation-dependent light curves.

This paper will investigate published color photometric data for a series of orbital debris targets and compare it to the empirical photometric measurements generated in the OMC. The specific materials investigated (known to exist in GEO) are: an intact piece of MLI, separated layers of MLI, and multiple solar cell materials. Using the data acquired over specific rotational angles through different filters (B, V, R, I), a color index is acquired (B-R, R-I). As a secondary check, the spectrometer is used to define color indexes for the same material. Using these values and their associated light curves, the laboratory data are compared to observational data obtained on the 1 m telescope of the Astronomical Institute of the University of Bern (AIUB) and 0.9 m operated by the Small- and Medium-

Aperture Research Telescope System (SMARTS) Consortium at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO); hereafter noted as the CTIO 0.9 m.

We will present laboratory generated light curves with color indexes of the high A/m materials alongside telescopic data of targets with high A/m values. We will discuss the relationship of laboratory to telescope data in the context of classification of GEO debris objects.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A new population of debris in the geosynchronous orbit (GEO) region possesses high area-to-mass ratios (A/m) [1]. High A/m objects exhibit variable eccentricity and inclination – generally due to the pronounced effect of solar radiation pressure. This high A/m debris population is thought to consist of multi-layered insulation (MLI) or solar panel fragments (solar cells). MLI is a common insulation material found on rocket bodies and spacecraft and is typically composed of reflective, copper-colored Kapton (DuPont manufactured polyimide film) with aluminized backing outer layers. The interior layers of the MLI consist of alternating layers of DACRON or Nomex netting with aluminized Mylar.

To aid telescopic identification of such high A/m objects, our laboratory study obtained photometric measurements of multiple MLI samples and solar panel fragments. Of the subset measured, four samples came from solar panels or intact MLI and two resulted from ground-based hypervelocity impacts on micro-satellites [2]. The targets were illuminated individually with a lamp approximating the solar spectrum and imaged with a CCD camera through Johnson/Bessell [blue (B), visible (V), red (R) and infrared (I)] filters matching the Johnson-Cousins filter system.

We have measured the V-R color index and brightness for these high A/m targets at a fixed phase angle of  $8^\circ$  and at multiple orientations (generally about the minimum axis of inertia). These data will be compared with observational data from the 1 m telescope of the Astronomical Institute of the University of Bern (AUIB) and 0.9 m telescope operated by the Small- and Medium-Aperture Research Telescope System (SMARTS) Consortium at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO); hereafter noted as the CTIO 0.9 m. We have eliminated telescopic observations of objects with large and rapid variations in magnitude (ie, flashers) due to our inability to reproduce this behavior with the current laboratory configuration.

## 2. DATA ACQUISITION

### 2.1 Laboratory Photometry

The design of the Optical Measurement Center (OMC) is analogous to a telescope set-up with a light source, target, and observer. A 75 watt, Xenon arc lamp is used to simulate the solar illumination through the spectral range of 200 to 2500 nm. Since certain layers of the MLI saturate the CCD at the lowest time exposure (0.12 seconds), a 0.9 optical, density-neutral density filter was used to reduce the intensity from the source. A simple blackboard covered with black flocked paper is positioned in front of the light source to keep stray light from scattering into the CCD. The data are acquired through a Santa Barbara Instrument Group (SBIG) ST-8XMEI camera, with a front-side illuminated 1024 x 1536 pixels, KAF1602E Silicon CCD. A SBIG CFW8A 5-position filter wheel is attached to the CCD camera. The laboratory uses Johnson/Bessell filters, although Johnson/Cousins filters have relatively the same bandpasses. The Blue (B) filter bandpass covers the 350-600 nm range, the Visible (V) filter ranges from 450-700 nm, the Red (R) filter ranges from 560-1060 nm, and the Infrared (I) filter covers 700-1100 nm. A telephoto zoom lens is attached to the CCD, enabling manual focusing and magnification of targets. The phase angle is that made between the source, target, and CCD camera and is set to  $8^\circ \pm 1^\circ$  for all the data taken for this paper. On-going measurements use higher phase angles (max of  $60^\circ$ ), but will not be discussed in this paper. An ST-Robotics R17 5-axis articulated arm robot, on loan from the Air Force Research Laboratory in Maui, is used to rotate objects through five degrees of freedom (base rotation, shoulder, elbow, wrist, and wrist rotation). A layout of the laboratory is seen in Fig. 1. The target, a sample of glass fiber-reinforced plastic fragment (GFRP), is shown in the near view on the right side of the figure, is nearly 4 m away from the source and less than 3 m from the detector.



Fig. 1. The layout from the robot's perspective of the OMC at an 8° phase angle

## 2.2 Samples Investigated

In Table 1, the materials investigated are shown with their respective A/m. Objects with  $A/m > 0.9 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$  are considered high A/m targets. The MLI intact piece is covered with copper-colored material on both faces, one side space-facing and the other spacecraft-facing. Separating these two layers of the intact MLI, the space-facing layer has a higher A/m than the spacecraft-facing layer, due to the space-facing side being interwoven with thread, slightly adding to the mass of the target. The MLI complex target is a remnant of microsatellite ground collision that appears intact, but the interior layers are visible. Unlike the former targets that are held taunt with simple black materials, the complex MLI target is mounted by the intact threaded side, allowing the interior layers to fan out and move during rotations. The solar cell fragment is also a result of the microsatellite ground collision, in which only the solar cell material and backing is visible. The intact solar panel fragment is from a sample solar panel, courtesy of NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and is composed of standard solar panel material with gallium arsenide solar cells. The sample is thought to be roughly 5 to 6 years old. The bottom of the solar panel is composed of carbon fiber-reinforced plastic (CFRP) and the interior is an aluminum, honeycomb material.

Table 1. List of laboratory targets investigated

Target Material	A/m ( $\text{m}^2/\text{kg}$ )
MLI intact	2.1
MLI space-facing layer	5.43
MLI spacecraft-facing layer	4.15
MLI complex (Kyushu University)	3-4
Solar cell fragment (Kyushu University)	1.00
Intact solar panel fragment	0.5

## 2.3 Telescopes

The CTIO 0.9 m telescope is located inland from La Serena, Chile and is used to acquire photometric measurements for the NASA Orbital Debris Program Office. It can track satellites at their angular rates of up to  $2^\circ$  per sec in right ascension, and has a  $0.22^\circ$  field of view (fov). The data are acquired through standard Johnson/Bessell B, V, R, and I filters.

Data published from the European Space Agency (ESA) 1 m telescope of the Astronomical Institute of the University of Bern (AIUB), located in Zimmerwald, will be used as a secondary comparison for laboratory data. The data are acquired through Johnson/Cousins BVRI bands. The data to be compared from this instrument are, primarily, published photometric light curves of high A/m populations located in the GEO regime.

# 3. MEASUREMENTS

## 3.1 Laboratory-based Measurements

Laboratory-based photometry [3], when correlated with telescopic observations, may enable identification of material types. To this end, we have acquired BVRI photometry data of various targets (Table 1) at orientations

incremented by  $10^\circ$  over a  $360^\circ$  rotation. The relative magnitude calculations are determined using a white reference (spectralon panel); unlike the telescope observations that use the Landolt catalogue to determine the correct color magnitudes. Therefore, the values determined in the laboratory will not be identical to telescope magnitudes. Since we are correlating with GEO observations taken near the anti-solar point, we have fixed the phase angle at  $8^\circ \pm 1^\circ$ . As will be shown, the measured color indices and orientation-dependent light curves, when compared with telescopic data, are indeed indicative of orbiting material type.

In the figures below, the color plots show the two maximum cross-sectional areas for each object with the background removed; red pixels indicate high intensities and blue pixels indicate low intensities (ie, same value as the background). In the case of the intact solar panel, the side view is shown as well, since it is not a thin plate-like shape. The middle plot shows the B-R color index for each object. Discontinuities in the color index plots is an artifact arising when signal in a given filter dropped to near background levels. The ordinate indicates the visible to red magnitude ratio, where positive numbers indicate a higher magnitude in the R filter and negative numbers a higher magnitude in B. The abscissa shows the orientation angle ranging from  $0^\circ$  to  $360^\circ$ .

The MLI-intact piece is shown in Fig. 2, with the spacecraft-facing surface (left) visible in the first half of the rotation and the space-facing layer visible in the later half (right). The middle figure shows the B-R plot, which is positive over the majority of the rotation, indicating the material is more reflective in the red than the blue. This is expected with Kapton material, which has poor B reflectivity due to a strong absorption feature near 480 nm [3]. The peak seen near  $180^\circ$  is due to a glint from the interior layers of the MLI, which have a stronger reflectance in the V and B relative to the R reflectance.




Fig. 2. MLI intact (spacecraft-facing layer 0-180, space-facing layer 190-360)

The space-facing and spacecraft-facing MLI layers are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. The first half of the rotation for the space-facing layer has the aluminized Kapton layer (referred to as “silver” in the captions) illuminated, followed by the copper-colored Kapton layer (referred to as “copper” in the captions) in the later half. The opposite arrangement applies to the spacecraft-facing layer, where the copper-colored Kapton is first illuminated, followed by the aluminized Kapton layer. Both targets show the copper-colored Kapton is more reflective in the R filter and the aluminized Kapton in the B filter. The average B-R for both targets during the copper-colored Kapton rotation was approximately 2.5; during the aluminized Kapton rotation the average B-R was -0.16 and -0.06 for the spacecraft- and space-facing layer, respectively. The comparison to telescope data will not match these values precisely because the CCD used in the laboratory is not blue sensitive, like the two telescopes. Also, the space weathering effects, or correction function, on materials beyond Earth’s atmosphere cannot be replicated in the laboratory at this time. A notable difference between the two layers is the structure of the light curves, where the woven spacecraft-facing layer has a smoother plot structure compared to the space-facing layer [3]. Due to the structure of the space-facing layer, the material is not able to support itself and is free to curl or bend under the natural weight of gravity. This non-rigid shape allows for multiple glints over the rotation sequence and is not easily repeatable from one rotation to the next.




Fig. 3. Space-facing MLI layer (copper 0-180, silver 190-360)




Fig. 4. Spacecraft-facing MLI layer (silver 0-180, copper 190-360)

In Fig. 5 the MLI complex fragment is shown. The copper-colored polyimide film is visible at both faces, but has been torn, allowing the different interior surface layers to be illuminated. The copper-colored polyimide film appears to have burn marks and, possibly soot, covering the exterior layers due to the impact, which ultimately reduced the total possible reflectance. An example of this is seen in the left color plot; the dark blue area covering the maximum surface area is copper-colored polyimide film, but due to the film covering the surface, the reflectance is reduced to nearly zero.




Fig. 5. MLI complex fragment, copper polyimide film on both sides with Mylar and white interior fabric exposed.

The solar panel fragment was oriented with the first half of the rotation illuminating the backside of the fragment (aluminum) and the later half showing the solar cell material, as shown in the left and right color plots of Fig. 6, respectively. The bright red area on the right-hand color plot indicates areas where the solar cell material has fragmented, allowing for the underlying material to be exposed. The B-R color index plot shows that the target is more reflective in the B filter throughout the entire rotation.




Fig. 6. Solar panel fragment (0-170 aluminum back, 180-350 solar cell)

In Fig. 7 the peaks in the B-R color index plot are dependent on the material surface area that was visible at each specific orientation. The object was rotated such that the solar cell side was illuminated the first quarter of the rotation, followed by the honeycomb, aluminum interior, then illuminating the bottom of the solar panel composed of CFRP at 180°. The two peaks near 140° and 220° indicate orientations where the magnitude was over half a magnitude or more in the B than the R. Even with the differently-illuminated materials, the plot indicates the material is more reflective in the B filter.




Fig. 7. Intact solar panel (solar cell top, aluminum honeycomb sides, CFRP bottom).

### 3.2 Telescope-based Measurements

For details on how telescope measurements are acquired, consult references 1, 4, and 5. This section will show light curves of GEO orbital debris acquired through the two telescopes mentioned in Section 2.2. Published telescope data from T. Schildknecht of AIUB are shown in Fig. 8; both light curves refer to the same bright object with a respective  $A/m = 1.9 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$  [5]. The primary periodicity for both cases is approximately 1-2 minutes. Attempting to compare laboratory light curves to those of space objects acquired over several days has proven to be difficult. As a specific example, the variations due to object glinting (with sharp increases/decreases on the order of  $\sim 5$  magnitudes) prevents direct comparisons. Since glinting is common to both MLI and solar cell fragments, one must use the color indices to gain a better knowledge of the material. With the known physical characteristics of fragments in the laboratory, one can compare the  $A/m$  from telescope observations and  $A/m$  with ground-test fragments to find the best match. Based on the calculated  $A/m$  for the MLI-intact sample and the solar cell fragment, the telescopic data would likely best match one of these samples (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 6, respectively). The magnitude variations on the telescope data appear to be on the order of six on the initial graph and approximately one to one and a half on the following plot. The variations for the laboratory data are dependent on the filter used. For the solar panel fragment, the magnitude range between peaks was approximately 7 for B and V, and 9 for R. The intact MLI, target magnitude range was approximately 9 and 11 in the R and I filter, respectively.




Fig. 8. Telescope data of same target with  $A/m = 1.9 \text{ m}^2/\text{kg}$  from AIUB

The following data (Fig. 9) was taken with the CTIO 0.9 m. The object shown in Fig. 9 was determined to be an uncorrelated target (UCT) not catalogued by the U. S. Space Surveillance Network. Due to the lack of magnitude variations within the 5- to 20- minute observing sequence, all data points shown are defined as stable. The filter photometry is taken in the following sequence: R:B:I:V:R, always starting and finishing the sequence with the red filter to investigate any systematic change over the (~20 minutes) of the entire observation set. The plot shows the magnitudes for each filter as a function of UT (decimal hour). Starting with the top left image of Fig. 9 and moving clockwise, the R, B, V and I magnitudes are plotted. The initial R measurement is shown in red and the last R measurement is shown in magenta. Some data points are missing due to contamination by star streaks. This object shows very small brightness and color variations in all filters for all short time scales (5 - 20 minutes), suggesting we are seeing just one aspect of this piece of debris. However, on longer timescales, both brightness and colors change significantly (note the behavior near 3 hours UT), where the object brightens in B and V by approximately two magnitudes, yet becomes fainter in I by about the same amount. Also, the object becomes fainter in R by one and one-half magnitudes shortly after 3 UT. The average B-R for each observation sequence began at 1.9 and ended with 1.63 nearly 3 hours later, but at 3 UT the B-R was approximately -0.23. This type of behavior is also seen in the OMC with the layered MLI when the copper-colored Kapton rotates towards the aluminized Kapton face, which changes from peaking in the R to peaking in the B or V, respectively. The B-R values do not match the telescope observational data, given the instrument and environment bias, but the nature of the increase/decrease in magnitude for each respective filter is comparable.

Does this large change in B-I reflect a true change in the observed surface, or was there a sudden change in surface or orientation between when the B and I observations were obtained near 3 h UT? Sequential observations in different filters are fundamentally unable to answer this question. Therefore a program was begun to obtain simultaneous measurements of the same object in two different filters. The CTIO 0.9 m observes in B, while the Michigan Orbital DEbris Survey Telescope (MODEST), also located at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO), observes in R. The MODEST CCD camera is electronically synced to the CTIO 0.9 m CCD camera, so both exposures have the same start time and duration to better than 50 milliseconds. Details of these experiments will be reported at the AMOS 2009 Technical Conference [4].




Fig. 9. Photometry of stable UCT from CTIO 0.9 m

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Both laboratory color and A/m measurements can be used to help determine the material composition of orbital debris that are observed with ground-based optical telescopes. With this information, the laboratory attempts to emulate a fragment drifting in space. Using mounting techniques that would preserve the fragment, the minimum axis of rotation was selected, although it is understood this axis is not equivalent to actual space debris. The photometry; however, still provides a glimpse of the actual light curve for known materials and sizes. The initial phase angle was set at  $8^\circ \pm 1^\circ$  and will soon be extended to both  $30^\circ$  and  $60^\circ$  to best replicate the phase angles of other telescope observations.

It was concluded the A/m is not sufficient to determine material type. Although the A/M for the AIUB fragment best matches the laboratory value for MLI, there are other materials (such as solar cell fragments) which also have similar A/m values. The fragment observed at CTIO was stable enough to measure the color indices, but these indices were observed to change when measured over a period of several hours. The color changes were consistent with laboratory measurements of MLI when the aluminized Kapton becomes illuminated. The current one- or two-telescope operations require that colors be measured sequentially. The measured debris must be stable over the time period needed to sequence through the filters in order to compare with the laboratory data. Lastly and surprisingly, the fragments studied until recently, have all been samples in relatively good condition, but the MLI fragment from the hypervelocity impact showed that the reflectance is reduced significantly due to the collision, leaving a thin film of suspected dark soot over the surface. This could imply that debris from explosions in space that involve MLI may be undetectable at certain orientations.

Although there are multiple materials in the laboratory that have high A/m (eg, GFRP and CFRP), their photometric light curves were smooth, lacking multiple glints, and unlikely to match the telescopic data shown in this paper. Acquiring the same amount of data from both sources is the key to making a firm conclusion on suspected target correlations.

As we move forward, we intend to provide an expanding database of light curves and color indices at different phase angles and orientations thought to best represent spacecraft material types. We will continue to look for correlations

to telescopic observations, with the intent of the assessing the material characteristics of the orbital debris population and the threat posed.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Gary Foreman with the University of Michigan for his hard work reducing all the photometry data from the CTIO 0.9-m telescope. The photometric observations of debris reported in this paper were obtained largely on the CTIO 0.9 m telescope, which is operated by the SMARTS consortium. We thank the SMARTS Director, Prof. Charles Bailyn of Yale University, and the entire consortium for granting us access to this telescope at the times that were most beneficial to us. Special thanks to the Air Force Research Laboratory in Maui for loaning the robotic arm to NASA\JSC. Lastly, thanks to Jasvir Singh, from San Jose State University in California, for his help with setting up the new laboratory, and to Jacqueline Heard and Jonathon Daniels, both from Prairie View A&M University, who acquired numerous laboratory photometric measurements that contributed to making this paper possible.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Schildknecht, T., et al, Properties of High Area-to-Mass Ratio Space Debris Population in GEO, 2005 AMOS Technical Conference Proceedings, Kihei, Maui, HI, 2005.
2. Hanada, T. and Liou, J.C., Comparison of fragments by low- and hyper- velocity impacts, Advances in Space Research, Vol. 41, 1132-1137, 2008.
3. Rodriguez, H., et al, Optical Properties of Multi-Layered Insulation, 2007 AMOS Technical Conference Proceedings, Kihei, Maui, HI, 2006.
4. Seitzer, P., et al, Photometric Studies of GEO Debris, 2009 AMOS Technical Conference Proceedings, Kihei, Maui, HI, 2009, *in preparation for this conference*.
5. Schildknecht, T., et al, Color Photometry and Light Curve Observations of Space Debris in GEO, 2008 International Astronautical Congress, Glasgow, Scotland, October 2008.