



Fig. 5. Ackermann-designed prime focus focal reducer mounted on a C-14 with prime focus corrector plate removed. The pupil for this unique system is on the first corrector lens, not, as is usual, at the primary mirror. This system produces a $3.6^\circ \times 2.4^\circ$ FOV on a KAF16070 interline transfer CCD in a Finger Lakes Instruments camera.

Excellent optics design capability allows JTMA to optimally image and detect the information brought to the focal plane by an optical system.

- Edge-buttet mosaics of detectors can cover larger areas of the sky and obtain better angular resolution per pixel, as needed.
- For frame transfer CCD detectors time-delay and integrate readout provides optimal on-sky detection, especially for N-S scans, which are, by definition, on great circles on the sky.
- For detectors with significant readout structure at the edges, such as CMOS devices, sparse arrays with one detector-width “gaps” in the focal plane can prove efficient for some applications.

Other specialized configurations are enabled by very wide field optical systems, as well.

6. Summary

The optical survey operation described here produces uncued observations over large fractions of the sky. Here we’ve discussed GEO, in particular. With different observing strategies, but identical physical configurations, JTMA wide-field sensors can produce complete surveys to appropriately faint limiting magnitudes at satellite altitudes ranging from LEO to GEO and beyond, track transfer orbits, and perform neighborhood watch functions. The sensors are very versatile and easily configured to carry out these different missions.

There is no requirement that observations made with JTMA sensors be uncued! Sensors described here can be programmed to carry out targeted observations of objects, and those tasks are made easier and more secure because of the wide fields of view on all our sensors. With FOVs larger than two degrees (four square degrees on the sky), there will never be doubt about whether the target was just missed, as can happen with smaller FOV telescopes, or whether it really wasn’t where it was predicted to be!

In a recent interview, then Lt. Gen. Raymond described space surveillance as “a little data limited,” and he expressed the goal of “making sure we have the right data necessary to make decisions.”

We agree with these statements and add that the SoS effort cannot have the “right” data if it is data starved, and that adding information to any compilation of SoS data requires a systematic, planned approach. Mechanisms to achieve this are underway, under development, and being invented, re-invented or improved at this time. It is the goal of JTMA to provide a significant fraction of accurate SoS data that can be timely and straightforwardly integrated into the appropriate data bases. In fact, the logical thread running through the JTMA AMOS papers, from the outset of our involvement, is to contribute significantly to the optical portion of SoS data.

There are four significant statements embedded in this paper:

1. JTMA has developed techniques and sensors capable of synoptically surveying large areas of the sky with high fidelity, accuracy and known uncertainties.
2. JTMA can ultimately provide these data based upon a globally dispersed system of affordable, replicable sensors.
3. The primary, but not only, operational mode of our sensors is an uncued, wide-field survey.
4. Statements and ideas reported will be questioned until demonstrations are made and the results are known.

The most common query we expect concerns the use of wide-field surveillance. The anticipated first issue is that “we just aren’t used to doing it that way.” This is absolutely legitimate, and we invite our colleagues to discuss with us the issues we raise in these papers. That is, in fact, a primary purpose of AMOS and the inclusion of JTMA papers!

It is globally acknowledged that space surveillance systems track only a small fraction of objects known to be in Earth orbital space. Radar is excellent at surveying near-Earth space (LEO, and MEO), and somewhat less capable at larger ranges, primarily due to the $1/R^4$ loss of transmitted power with distance. While queuing of radar observations occurs, it is inherently an uncued survey system because it is capable of detecting and tracking any object of appropriate angular size (physical size as a function of range). Thus, the concept of uncued observations is not unknown to the SoS community.

JTMA sensors are an excellent adjunct to radar at MEO, GEO and beyond, and in transfer orbit tracking. It is a useful adjunct at LEO (and beyond) because there is no significant instrumental modulation of detectivity over angle in the surveyed volume of the sky. (Except for clouds, of course.) In short, all SoS sensors are vital to continued observation in support of secure use of space. The optical capability we propose to implement will support current efforts, but the uncued, synoptic portion of the survey is capable of systematically building the catalog of tracked and identified Earth-orbiting objects for years to come.

Bringing space surveillance data bases current with inclusion of more of the untracked objects in Earth orbital space is daunting, but certainly not impossible. Thank goodness such a large fraction of the AMOS attendees are by nature, training, and mission, dauntless!

7. Acknowledgements

We thank our SBIR/STTR PMs Paul Kervin and Richard Rast for their support, and Steve Bisque and Software Bisque for help with rapid installation of their new Taurus mount at the JTMA testbed observing site.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ackermann, J. McGraw, and P. Zimmer, “An Overview of Wide-Field-Of-View Optical Designs for Survey Telescopes,” presented at the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, 2010, p. 41.
- [2] M. Ackermann, J. McGraw, and P. Zimmer, “Lens Systems for Sky Surveys and Space Surveillance,” presented at the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, 2013, p. E29.

- [3] P. Zimmer, M. Ackermann, and J. McGraw, "Demonstration of Uncued Optical Surveillance of LEO," presented at the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, 2014, p. E41.
- [4] P. Zimmer, J. McGraw, and M. Ackermann, "Real-Time Optical Surveillance of LEO/MEO with Small Telescopes," presented at the Proceedings of the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, held in Wailea, Maui, Hawaii, September 15-18, 2014, Ed.: S. Ryan, The Maui Economic Development Board, id.103, 2015, p. 103.
- [5] J. McGraw, M. Ackermann, P. Zimmer, S. Taylor, J. Pier, and B. Smith, "Angles and Range: Initial Orbital Determination with the Air Force Space Surveillance Telescope (AFSST)," presented at the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, 2008, p. 48.
- [6] J. T. McGraw, M. Ackermann, and P. Zimmer, "The Fundamental Role of Wide-Field Imaging in Space Situational Awareness," presented at the Proceedings of the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, held in Wailea, Maui, Hawaii, September 15-18, 2014, Ed.: S. Ryan, The Maui Economic Development Board, id.29, 2015, p. 29.
- [7] J. McGraw and M. Ackermann, "A 1.2m Deployable, Transportable Space Surveillance Telescope Designed to Meet AF Space Situational Awareness Needs," presented at the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, 2007, p. 4.
- [8] P. Zimmer, M. Ackermann, and J. T. McGraw, "GPU-accelerated Faint Streak Detection for Uncued Surveillance of LEO," presented at the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, 2013, p. E31.
- [9] M. Ackermann, E. Kopit, J. McGraw, and P. Zimmer, "Commercial Optics for Space Surveillance and Astronomy," presented at the Proceedings of the Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference, held in Wailea, Maui, Hawaii, September 15-18, 2014, Ed.: S. Ryan, The Maui Economic Development Board, id.52, 2015, p. 52.